United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| TO LO TIONANO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | TIKST NAMED INVENTOR | | |
| 10/565,674 | 05/30/2006 | Thomas Bierhoff | 449122086300 | 5537 |
| | 7590 02/20/2007 FOERSTER LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | PRINCE, KAJLI | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WCDDAIN, VII | | | 2874 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | Y MODE |
| 2 1 10 1 17 17 | | 02/20/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer | 10/565,674 | BIERHOFF, THOMAS | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kajli Prince | 2874 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| • | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>30 May 2006</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 30 May 2006 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

Two (2) sheets of formal drawings were filed on 24 January 2006 and have been accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: this claim is in improper dependent form.

A proper dependent claim includes all the limitations of the claim from which it depends.

Claims 1-6 are not directed to a statutory class of invention. Thus, claim 7 cannot properly depend from any one of claims 1-6.

Further, it is conceivable that the claimed device may be made by a materially different process. Thus, claim 7 would fail the "infringement test." MPEP §608.01(n)(III).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,674

Art Unit: 2874

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Page 3

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to a judicial exception – abstract idea; as such, pursuant to the Interim Guidelines on Patent Eligible Subject Matter (MPEP §2106), the claims must have either physical transformation and/or a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claims fail to include transformation from one physical state to another. Although, the claims appear useful and concrete, there does not appear to be a tangible result claimed. Merely determining transmission behavior would not appear to be sufficient to constitute a tangible result, since the outcome of the determination step has not been "claimed" as being used in a disclosed practical application nor "claimed" as made available in such a manner that its usefulness in a disclosed practical application can be realized. As such, the subject matter of the claims is not patent eligible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,674 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Himmler et al. (WO 02/033455 A3).

- 5. Regarding claims 1-7, as best understood by the examiner, these claims are drawn to a method of ray tracing to determine optical properties of an optical waveguide. Ray tracings are commonly used in the art to illustrate properties of optical waveguides.
- 6. Consider claims 1-7, Bierhoff et al. essentially discloses the claimed invention. (Abstract, Fig. 1, claim 1).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. NJ Cronin, "Microwave and Optical Waveguides," 1995, Institute of Physics Publishing, pgs. 27-33; Chai Yeh, "Handbook of Fiber Optics Theory and Applications," 1990, Academic Press, Inc., pgs. 55-56. These additional references illustrate the common practice of using ray tracings to illustrate properties of optical waveguides.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kajli Prince whose telephone number is (571) 270-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am to 6:00pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,674

Art Unit: 2874

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KP

Mithelle R. Comully-Cushwa MICHELLE CONNELLY-CUSHWA PRIMARY EXAMINER 2/15/07